



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS
DEPARTMENT OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS

- Bhowra,

12/10/06.

No.NII-12013/69/2006/AS/NH-10

The Commissioner & Spl. Secy
PWD, Assam,
Chandmari, Guwahati-06

Sub: Bidding Document

Sir,

Reference is made to CE(NH), PWD letter No. NHR.6/2006/Pt/11 dated 4.9.2006 seeking clarification about criteria for JV and monetary limit for JV formation. The matter has been examined, as under:-

1.1 Criteria for JV

The criteria have already been conveyed vide Ministry's letter of even no. dated 26.7.2006. It may be clarified that the lead partner shall meet not less than 30% of the qualifying criteria and each of the partners shall meet not less than 20% of the qualifying criteria. It is further clarified that qualifying criteria for this purpose is the criteria prescribed for the individual applicants.

With a view to strength the criteria for JV, an over riding condition shall be that all JV partners shall also collectively meet not less than the qualifying criteria prescribed for individual applicants in respect of turnover and experience of similar work.

1.2 Limit for JV

The proposal of PWD to allow formation of Joint Venture for works above Rs. 20 crore is acceptable.

2. Further, the following decisions have also been taken:

2.1 Format of bids

Bids for works costing more than Rs 5 crore will be invited and received under two covers: (i) Technical proposal in the forms prescribed by the Ministry vide circular No. RW/NH-37011/9/98-DO.I dated 10.6.1998; and (ii) financial offer.

2.2 Evaluation of technical proposals

Technical proposals would be finalized by a committee headed by the Chief Engineer of the Ministry dealing with NER. The ground work, would be got carried out by the Chief Engineer (NH) of the State. Composition of the Committee shall be as under.

- | | | |
|--|---|------------------|
| () Chief Engineer, DoRTH | - | Chairman |
| () Chief Engineer (National Highway) in the state | - | Member |
| () Superintending Engineer, DoRTH | - | Member Secretary |

3. The cost of work for above purposes would be taken as the estimated cost of the Bill of Quantities (BOQ) items put to tender.

4. Financial offer of only those bidders, who are found technically qualified, will be opened by Chief Engineer (NH), as is the extant practice. Cost estimate based on the lowest responsive bid will be submitted to the Ministry for sanction, along with recommendations.

5. The above criteria and procedure is applicable for SARDP-NE projects only. Draft bidding document based on the above, as well as the previous approvals is enclosed for adoption.

Yours faithfully,



(Verinder Kaul)
Superintending Engineer (P-10)
For Director General (Road Development) &SS

Encl: As above

Copy for information and necessary action please.

- ✓ (i) The Chief Engineer (NH),
PWD, Assam,
Cbandmari, Guwahati-03
(ii) Regional Officer, DoRTH, Guwahati

Yours faithfully,


(Verinder Kaul)
Superintending Engineer (P-10)
For Director General (Road Development) &SS

Encl: As above



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF SHIPPING, ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS
DEPARTMENT OF ROAD TRANSPORT & HIGHWAYS

Transport Bhawan,
1, Parliament Street,
New Delhi - 110001

o.NH-12013/69/2006/AS/NH-10

New Delhi, 26th Jul, 2006

The Chief Engineer (NH),
PWD, Assam,
Chandmari, Guwahati-03

Sub: Bidding Document for SARDP-NE works to be implemented by Assam PWD.

Sir,

Reference is made to a letter No. CNH.37/2006/12 dated 1.6.2006 from Officer on Special Duty, PWD, Assam on the subject as cited above.

The proposed relaxation to certain provisions of Standard Bidding Document of the Ministry has been examined keeping in view providing scope for local contractors to participate in the SARDP-NE. The following provision of SBD may be amended to the extent as mentioned below.

2.1 Pre-Qualification Criteria

(i) Clause 4.5 A (a) of SBD shall now read as "Annual turn over in any of the year during the last 5 years ending March, 2006 should not be less than 30% of the estimated value of work as indicated in the Appendix".

(ii) Clause 4.5A (b) of SBD shall now read as "Any contractor seeking pre-qualification should have satisfactorily completed at least one road work during the last five years ending March, 2006, (involving earth work, CD works, GSB, base course and bituminous work) of value not less than 30% of the estimated cost of the work specified in the Appendix.

The value of the completed work will be updated @ 10% per year, compounded, to convert it to the current value. Accordingly, the value of the

Escalation class should be as
Lead partner limit - 20% of C
2nd Partner - 10%

work completed in 2004-05 will be increased by 10%; the value of the work completed in 2003-04 will be increased by 21%; the value of work completed in 2002-03 will be increased by 33%; the value of the work completed in 2001-02 will be increased by 46% and the value of the work so arrived should not be less than 30% of the estimated cost of the work specified in the Appendix.

A contractor, who has not completed any similar work, but is presently executing at least one work of similar nature, may also be considered provided he has completed the work not less than 90% of the contract value. The value of the completed work should not be less than 30% of the estimated value of the work specified in the Appendix”

(iii) Clause 4.5A (c) of SBD regarding rate of execution of key items shall stand deleted

(iv) The above criteria relating to turnover and experience of similar work will also be applicable to the lead partners/most experienced partner of the joint-venture. The other partner of the joint venture shall also be required to have turnover and experience of similar works of not less than 20%, as above.

2.2 Contract Conditions

(i) No sub-contracting will be permitted.

(ii) Clause 44.1 Compensation Events

The compensation events vide Clause 44.1 (d), (e) (h) (j) and (l) of SBD shall stands deleted. Further, under sub-clause 44.1(i), the period of 28 days shall be changed to 56 days. The sub-clause 44.1 may be modified accordingly.

(iii) Clause 15.3 to be deleted being in applicable as price variation clause is provided in SBD.



2.3 General Comments

Clause 32.2 of the Bid document submitted by PWD under Instruction to Bidders restricting quotes up to 10% below estimated amount is not acceptable. The same may be deleted.

3.0 Except the above, provisions of the Standard Bidding Document shall be followed in totality.

Yours faithfully,



(V.K. Rajawat)

Executive Engineer (P-10)
For Director General (Road Development)